

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/690,790	10/22/2003	Richard Reardon	REA-101US	5618
	7590 12/27/2004		EXAMINER	
JANSSON, SHUPE & MUNGER, LTD 245 MAIN STREET			SHAW, ELIZABETH ANNE	
RACINE, WI 53403			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3644	

DATE MAILED: 12/27/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/690,790	REARDON, RICHARD	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Elizabeth A. Shaw	3644	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory properties of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repon. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH statute, cause the application to become ABA	ly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	04 October 2004.		
•	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for all			
closed in accordance with the practice und	der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the applica	ation.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	hdrawn from consideration.		
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2,5-18 and 20</u> is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3,4 and 19</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	ind/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) objected to by	y the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to	o the drawing(s) be held in abeyance	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by th	ne Examiner. Note the attached (Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	reign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority docum			
2. Certified copies of the priority docum			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the		eceived in this National Stage	
application from the International Bu			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	a list of the certified copies not re	ceived.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Sun	nmary (PTO-413)	
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE 		Mail Date rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 10/690,790

Art Unit: 3644

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3 and 19 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Also note the previously allowed claim 4 is now rejected under the new grounds of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 3, 4 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nunn (2,212,746) in view of Epstein (5,435,272). Nunn shows a harness for a child comprising a support belt 1 for encircling the child's waist having a front portion and a rear portion and first and second ends (unnumbered) being connected together at a coupling 5 at the rear portion, see figure 1; an upper torso support component 2, 3 extending from the front portion to the rear portion and a handle 4 for allowing the child to be lifted and supported by the harness. The handle 4 having top and bottom ends, see figure 3, the top end connected to the rear portion of the upper torso support component 2, 3 by D-rings 21, 22 and at the bottom end connected to the rear portion of the lower torso support component 1, by D-ring 24 such that when supporting the child aloft by the handle 4, no coupling 5 bears the child's weight. Nunn does not disclose the use of a crotch support member. Epstein shows a child harness having a support

Application/Control Number: 10/690,790

Art Unit: 3644

belt 18, upper torso support 1, 2, handle 15 and a bifurcated crotch support member (unnumbered), see fig. 1. With respect to claims 1 and 19, to use the bifurcated crotch support member of Epstein with the harness of Nunn would have been obvious to one skilled in the art in order to provide more secure and even weight distribution when lifting the child wearing the harness.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2, 5-18 and 20 are allowed.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Included for further reference are: Gilliam (1,193,374), Martusciello (5,388,551), Burks (6,317,050), Veitch (6,338,699) and Guynn (2004/0112302).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elizabeth A. Shaw whose telephone number is 703-308-1853. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 9:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Teri Luu can be reached on 703-305-7421. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3644

1

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Elizabeth A. Shaw

Examiner Art Unit 3644

December 22, 2004

TERI PHAM LUU SUPERVISORY

PRIMARY EXAMINER